



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1:

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Applied Science & Technology</u>
Manuscript Number:	2013_BJAST_4943
Title of the Manuscript:	Proximate composition and consumption pattern of plantain and cooking-banana
Type of the Article	Research paper

General guideline for Peer Review process is available in this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

- This form has total 7 parts. Kindly note that you should use all the parts of this review form.



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Line 145: the numbers 78.35-83.63 need the same spacing as line 146 8.47- 12.88 %. Define the colours green and yellow as indicated in the peel of the banana (88)	Corrections have been implemented in revised manuscript.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	After reading the article I had a doubt about the moisture analysis of samples. First the sample was dried at 60°C for 12 h and then the moisture content was determined by the AOACC method. Didn't the sample lose moisture during the drying? Was this drying not significant to the moisture analysis? I would include the self-administered questionnaire and list all responses. What was the qualification and background of the three hundred (300) subjects in the study communities? Ellaborate!	The moisture reported was residual moisture after drying at 60°C. But data has been recalculated and reported as dry matter in revised manuscript. The socio-economic status of subject has been included in manuscript.



SDI Review Form 1.6

	Table 1 should be moved before the result and discussion section. Elaborate on the previous report by Fagbemi (1999) in line 139. Conclusion is rather short and non-conclusive, elaborate!	Other comments have been implemented in revised version of manuscript. Conclusion rewritten.
--	--	--